	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/014,342	MARTIN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jared J. Fureman	2876
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>allowed</u>	
(1) Mr. Jared J. Fureman (PTO).	(3)	
(2) Mr. Thomas J. Perkowski (33,134).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 11 February 2004	Time: <u>10 am</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:  N/A		
Claims discussed: 1, 20, 29, 31, 50, and 54.		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GE See Continuation Sheet	NERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separal directly resulted in the allowance of the application. of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separal did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summer</li> </ul>	The examiner will provide a writt ate record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
JARED J. FUREMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER		
	cant/Applicant's Representative Si	gnature - if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Perkowski was informed that a supplemental amendment would be required, since the amendment filed on 12/1/2003 did not utilize strikethroughs to show subject matter that was deleted in claim 31. It was also determined that claims 1, 20, 29, 50, and 54 would require correction of claim objections, and that a supplemental terminal disclaimer was necessary to obviate any double patenting rejections over U.S. Patent numbers 6,637,659 B2, 6,283,375, and 6,607,133, in order to place the application in condition for allowance.